



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,647	07/14/2003	Donald K. Harper JR.	003A.0092.U1(US)	1264
29683	7590	03/31/2004	EXAMINER	
HARRINGTON & SMITH, LLP 4 RESEARCH DRIVE SHELTON, CT 06484-6212			GUSHI, ROSS N	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2833

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/619,647		HARPER, DONALD K.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ross N. Gushi		2833	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)               | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/14/03</u> .   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____.                                    |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 3, 15, the meaning of "a compound curvature" is unclear and confusing. The term is treated as meaning that the contact area is curved.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harper, Jr. et al. ("Harper") in view of Lin et al. ("Lin").

Regarding claims 1, Harper discloses an electrical contact assembly comprising a contact terminal comprising a base 25 and cantilevered deflectable contact arms (15, 17) extending from least one lateral side the base, a first contact arms extending a downward direction and second one contact arms extending in an upward direction, wherein the contact arm comprises surface contact area for contacting a second pad on second electronic component, and wherein first and second contact arms are adapted

Art Unit: 2833

to deflect when the contact area of the second contact arm is contacted by the second pad of the second electronic. Harper does not discuss a fusible element. Lin discloses a fusible element 2 attached to terminal tail 52. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to attach a solder ball to the Harper arm as taught in Lin. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to create a permanent and reliable electrical connection between the terminal and the board, as taught in Lin and as is well known in the art.

Per claim 2, the contact terminal comprises stamped sheet metal.

Per claim 3, the contact are is curved.

Per claim 4, the first and second contact arms extend from same lateral side the base.

Per claim 5, the first and second contact arms extend opposite directions generally parallel each other.

Regarding claims 6, 7, Harper does not disclose a concave bottom surface. Lin discloses a concave bottom surface 42, wherein a top surface the fusible element is attached to an end of the contact against the bottom concave surface. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to construct the Harper arm with a concave surface for mounting a solder ball as taught in Lin. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to facilitate attachment of a solder ball as taught in Lin.

Regarding claim 8, Lin notes that it is known in the art to attach a solder ball by extending an a contact arm into the ball (see Lin figure 1). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to attach a Solder ball to the Harper arm using well known

methods, such as by having the arm extend into the ball as noted in Lin. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to attach a solder ball to a terminal, such motivation being well known in the art.

Claims 1, 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grabbe in view of Lin et al. ("Lin").

Regarding claims 1, Grabbe discloses an electrical contact assembly comprising a contact terminal comprising a base (see figure 12) and cantilevered deflectable contact arms extending from least one lateral side the base, a first contact arms extending a downward direction and second one contact arms extending in an upward direction, wherein the contact arm comprises surface contact area for contacting a second pad on second electronic component, and wherein first and second contact arms are adapted to deflect when the contact area of the second contact arm is contacted by the second pad of the second electronic. Grabbe does not discuss a fusible element. Lin discloses a fusible element 2 attached to terminal tail 52. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to attach a solder ball to the Grabbe arm as taught in Lin. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to create a permanent and reliable electrical connection between the terminal and the board, as taught in Lin and as is well known

Per claim 9, Grabbe discloses a carrier 12" with apertures and tabs 22' to form a stapled connection between terminals 20" and carrier 12".

Per claims 10, 11, 12, the Grabbe carrier comprises a flexible dielectric film sheet of insulative material and a plurality of apertures.

Claims 13, are rejected for the reasons pertaining to claims 1 and 9-12.

Per claim 14, the Grabbe terminal is made from sheet metal.

Per claim 15, the Grabbe arms extend up and down and the contact areas are curved.

Claims 9, 10, 13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harper and Lin as in claims 1-8 in view of Grabbe. Per claims 9, 13, Harper discloses a carrier 11 with apertures 19. Harper does not use tabs to form a stapled connection. Grabbe discloses using tabs 22' to form a stapled connection between terminals 20'' and carrier 12''. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to attach the Harper/Lin contact assembly to a carrier such as by using tabs in the terminal base to form a stapled connection as taught in Grabbe. The method of attachment of the terminal to the carrier, whether by using apertures and posts as in Harper or by using tabs inserted into slots and subsequently bent as taught in Grabbe would have been a matter of engineering design choice motivated by known factors such as ease of assembly, cost, etc.

Per claim 10, the Harper carrier comprises a sheet 31 of insulative material and a plurality of apertures.

Claims 14-22 are rejected for the reasons pertaining to claims 1--9.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ross Gushi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2005. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Application/Control Number: 10/619,647

Page 6

Art Unit: 2833

supervisor, Paula A. Bradley, can be reached at 571-272-2800 extension 33. The phone number for the Group's facsimile is (703) 872-9306.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ross Gushi", with a stylized, flowing script.

**ROSS GUSHI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**